

Appln. No.: 10/616192
Amdmt dated January 30, 2006
Reply to Office Action mailed 12/22/2005

ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has requested a restriction requirement in the above-referenced matter.

Applicant provisionally elects Species A and Species C for prosecution on the merits.

Application withdraws claims 5, 6, and 11 herein as being drawn the non-elected species.

821.04 MPEP reads as follows:

Where restriction was required between independent or distinct products, and all claims directed to an elected invention are allowable, any restriction requirement between the elected invention and any nonelected invention that depends from or otherwise requires all the limitations of an allowable claim should be withdrawn.

In accordance with 821.04 of the MPEP and 37 CFR 1.141, Applicant retains the right of rejoinder in the event that a generic claim is allowed and hereby requests the Examiner to examine these withdrawn claims during the prosecution of this application, in this event.

If the Examiner has any questions pertaining to the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (860) 674-3457.

Please note that this case is being transferred from Carrier Corporation to Ohlandt Greeley Ruggiero & Perle, LLP. A change of correspondence address is being filed herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Christine Swen 43,177
Bryan Rockwell
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 36,656
for

Date: January 30, 2006
Carrier Corporation
One Carrier Place
P.O. Box 4015
Farmington, CT 06034